

Fast Ramping 750 GeV Muon Synchrotron

D. Summers, L. Cremaldi, T. Hart, L. Perera, M. Reep, S. Watkins

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University of Mississippi-Oxford

J. Reidy, Jr., Oxford High School S. Hansen, M. de Lima Lopes, Fermilab

S. Berg, Brookhaven A. Garren, Particle Beam Lasers - Northridge




Muon Acceleration Program (MAP)

27 Jun - 1 Jul 2011 Telluride, Colorado

Muon Collider 2011: Physics - Detectors - Accelerators

Muon Acceleration to 750 GeV in the Tevatron Tunnel

- Cool muons plus high injection γ due to low muon mass
→ small magnets ramping with a few thousand volts.
- Ameliorate eddy current and hysteresis losses in magnets.
Thin grain oriented 3% silicon steel laminations. Low $B^2/2\mu$
Stainless steel cooling tubes for water and thin copper wire.
Conductor in use for new ISIS choke. Made by Trench Ltd.
- Exploit 4% duty cycle. Energy usually sits in capacitor banks.
Muon survival is reasonable in a fast ramping synchrotron.
Power can be go into cavities fast enough (need 3x ILC).
- Interleave 400 Hz ramping & fixed superconducting dipoles.



- 1.5 TeV $\mu^+\mu^-$ collider. D. Summers et al., arXiv:0707.0302

Prototype 400 Hz, 1.8T, 46 mm Long Dipole Magnet


- Have built dipole with $46 \times 46 \times 1.5$ mm gap
Thomas-Skinner 3-phase transformer 11-mil “EI” laminations
SuPer-Orthosil grain oriented Si steel. $\mu = 14000\mu_0$ @ 1.8T
“Slotted” all laminations with our wire EDM.
Wound coils with 12 gauge copper magnet wire. $D = 2$ mm.
- LC circuit with capacitor and IGBT switch. $f = 1/2\pi\sqrt{LC}$
 $1.5 \times 46 \times 46$ mm bore, $N=40$; $I = Bh/\mu_0 N = 54A$
 $W = \int \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} d\tau = \frac{LI^2}{2} = \frac{CV^2}{2} = 4.1 J$; $V = 2\pi B f N w \ell = 400V$
- Parts
 - Polypropylene Capacitor: Cornell Dubilier $52\mu F$, 1400V, 60A
 - TENNELEC TC 952 HV Supply for topping off capacitor.
 - IGBT switch: Powerex CM600HX-24A, 1200V, 600A
 - IGBT Gate Drive: Powerex VLA500-01 (5V pulse control)
 - Berkeley Nucleonics BNC 8010 NIM Pulse Generator
 - F. W. Bell 4048 Hall Probe good to 2% up to 3000 Hz AC.
- Rough Cost of a Power Supply
 - Capacitors: \$5/joule. Choke: \$3/joule. Switch: \$1000/MW

IGBT Switch, IGBT Gate Driver, and Capacitor



- Many thanks to Sten Hansen and Ken Bourkland for advice.


Grain Oriented Silicon Steel Dipole Prototype



- $1.5 \times 46 \times 46$ mm gap, “EI” Laminations

IGBT power supply test: 400 Hz, 400V, 50 Amps

- Tektronics TDS3054B 500 MHz Oscilloscope



- Results: LC circuit should ring for twice the time.
Magnet only goes to 1.5 Tesla DC. Saturated T joint?

Grain Oriented Silicon Steel Relative Permeabilities





	0.1 T	0.5 T	0.7 T	1.0 T	1.3 T	1.5 T	1.7 T	1.8 T	1.9 T	2.0 T
0°	29000	40000	46000	50000	49000	48000	30000	14000	6000	180
10°	8000	13000	14000	14000	14000	10000	3000			
20°	3500	7000	9800	11000	9000	2100				
55°	700	3400	3800	1100	540					
90°	660	2400	3300	4300	2300	320	120	80	60	50
Steel	1500	4000	4700	4100	3300	1900	600	290	160	90
NOSS	2600	5700	5400	3600	1600	350	210	95	50	
Fe Co		12000	14000	17000	16000	15000	13000	9000	8000	6000
Dy										

Table 1: Relative permeability (μ/μ_0) for HiB 3% grain oriented silicon steel as a function of magnetic field (Tesla) and angle. The minimum at 1.3 T and 55° comes from the long diagonal (111) of the steel crystal. For comparison, (μ/μ_0) of four other ferromagnetic materials are shown in the bottom half of the table.

Material	$\rho(\mu\Omega - \text{cm})$	$H_c(\text{Oersteds})$
Grain Oriented Silicon Steel	46	0.09
Steel 0.0025% ultra low carbon LHC steel	10	0.8
NOSS non-oriented 3% silicon steel	46	0.7
Fe Co Hiperco 50A (2 V : 49 Fe : 49 Co)	24	1.0
Dy Dysprosium at T = 70K	50	

Try Mitred Joints with Grain Oriented Silicon Steel

- Good magnetic properties only in the grain direction.
Look at the construction of large 3 phase transformers.
Avoid T-joint saturation with some kind of 45° mitre.
Mitred laminations from Pacific Laser Laminations are ready



- Need software simulation with BH curves at many angles!

$$2D: \nabla \times H = \nabla \times \frac{\nabla \times A}{\mu(B, \theta)} = J, \quad \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = -\mu J$$

$$J = J_z, \quad \nabla \cdot A = 0, \quad A = A_z, \quad B_x = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial y}, \quad B_y = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}, \quad \theta = \text{atan}\left(\frac{B_y}{B_x}\right)$$

Solve on a mesh, then iterate with new $\mu(B, \theta)$ in FEMM

- Opera-2D: BHDATA enters 5 to 50 BH pairs into a table.

BHX, BHY: anisotropic components of non-linear μ .

Can fudge μ_{90° to correct μ_{10° in Opera2D elliptical model.

$$\mu_{90^\circ}(120 \rightarrow 520) \Rightarrow \mu_{10^\circ}(690 \rightarrow 3000) \quad \mu_\theta = \left[\left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\mu_{0^\circ}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\mu_{90^\circ}} \right)^2 \right]^{-0.5}$$

OPERA-2D Simulation of a Dipole with Mitred Joints


- Simulation of Grain Oriented Silicon Steel

OPERA-2D elliptical approximation: $\mu(55^\circ)$ $5\times$ too good.

Elliptical approximation: Only two angles can be correct.

Mauricio de Lima Lopes, mllopes@fnal.gov

Example #4



Measuring Magnetic Fields

- Our F. W. Bell 4048 Hall Probe measures DC and AC fields. It does not measure **pulsed** magnetic fields.
- Have been testing a small coil read out by an oscilloscope. Calibrate with magnet at 60 Hz where Bell Hall probe works.
- Ordered newer F. W. Bell Hall 5180 with DSP and peak hold.
5180: USB, 0.045" \perp probe, 100K/s data \rightarrow BNC, \$1325.
7030: RS-232, 3D $\frac{5}{16}$ " probe, 50K/s data \rightarrow 3 BNC, \$10925.
- Measuring BH curves in 3cm x 30cm lamination strips.
FNAL Main Injector: Epstein Frame, Hysteresigraph 5500



Path Length Correction Chicane Option

- Simplify keeping in phase with the superconducting RF.
Devote a few cells to one or two chicanes.
Chicane increases path length as the synchrotron ramps up.
Corrects for rising μ speed and for interleaved dipole lattice.
Path length increases as the square of the deflection angle.
Quads amplify deflection of ramping dipoles.
Multibore dipole bends muons back at apex of triangle.
Superconducting multibore dipoles have a bore for each orbit.



- Goal: Go from two acceleration rings to one.
Inject into the interleaved dipole ring at 100, not 400 GeV/c.
Path length in interleaved dipoles can vary more with chicane.
Orbit radius no longer has to vary to keep in phase with RF.
Narrow dipoles, less $\int(B^2/2\mu) d\tau \Rightarrow$ lower ramping voltages
- 120m wide, 3m high, 6° apex triangle \Rightarrow 15cm path difference
30m 8 Tesla dipole will bend a 750 GeV/c muon 6 degrees

Transverse beam pipe impedance (Thanks to Bill Ng)

- $Z_1^\perp = [\text{sgn}(\omega) + j]2cR/(b^3\sigma_c \delta_c \omega) = 742 \text{ M}\Omega/\text{m}$
- Take ring radius $R = 1000$ meters.
Take beam pipe radius $b = 6\text{mm}$. Resistive wall impedance.
 σ_c is the conductivity of copper
beam revolution frequency, $f = 47.7 \text{ kHz}$
 $f = \omega/2\pi$
skin depth = $\delta_c = \sqrt{2/(|\omega|\mu\sigma_c)}$
- Transverse coupled bunch instability is the most serious.
Driven mostly by the first negative betatron sideband
- Growth rate = $1/\tau = [eMI_b \omega_0 \beta_y / (4\pi\beta E_0)] ReZ_1^\perp F$
- I_b is average current. 2×10^{12} muons/bunch, $M = 1$ bunch
 E_0 is the muon energy. Use 150 GeV average.
 $\beta_y = 99$ meters = vertical betatron function
Form Factor = $F = 0.8$ for a short bunch
- Growth rate is 333 orbits. 60 to 400 GeV ring has 43 orbits.
 $b = 6\text{mm}$ is **double** the size of the PAC07 $b = 3\text{mm}$ size
 $M = 1$. Higher order sidebands may give helpful cancellations

References

- [1] G. H. Shirkoohi and M. A. M. Arikat, "Anisotropic properties of high permeability grain-oriented 3.25% Si-Fe electrical steel," IEEE Trans. Magnetics **30** (1994) 928.
- [2] Zhiguang Cheng *et al.*, "Analysis and Measurements of Iron Loss and Flux Inside Silicon Steel Laminations," IEEE Trans. Magnetics **45** (2009) 1222.
- [3] F. Bertinelli *et al.*, "Production of low-carbon magnetic steel for the LHC superconducting dipole and quadrupole magnets," IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **16** (2006) 1777.
- [4] J. Liu *et al.*, "A method of anisotropic steel modelling using finite element method with confirmation by experimental results," IEEE Trans. Magnetics **30** (1994) 3391.
- [5] Thomas Weiland, "On the Numerical Solution of Maxwell's Equations and Applications in the Field of Accelerator Physics," Part. Accel. **15** (1984) 245.

Muon Acceleration Summary

- Synchrotrons are a lot less expensive than racetracks
- 400 Hz, 1.8 T dipole prototype is in progress.
Mitred laminations from Pacific Laser Laminations are ready
Need to see how well the magnetic flux circuit works.
- Al Garren and Scott Berg are working on interleaved lattice.
What magnet errors can be tolerated? Gap is small.
Hexapole fields in beam pipe.
- Trying to optimize keeping in phase with 1.3 GHz SRF
PAC07: Adjust orbit radius & use 2 rings. $100 \rightarrow 400 \rightarrow 750$
1.5 TeV $\mu^+ \mu^-$ collider. D. Summers et al., arXiv:0707.0302